

#### **Jumpstart Mplus**

5. Data that are skewed, incomplete or categorical

Arielle Bonneville-Roussy Dr Gabriela Roman

#### Questions

- How do I deal with missing values?
- How do I deal with non normal data?
- How do I deal with categorical data?

- Missing completely at random (MCAR):
  - Missing by design (to avoid fatigue); by chance...
- Missing at random (MAR)
  - Missing values that are function of an unrelated variable; not of the variables(s) under investigation
  - E.g. Depression: Missing values on suicidal thoughts do not depend on the level of suicidal thoughts. It might depend on gender; females being more prone to answer to this question than males.
- Missing not at random (MNAR)
  - Missing values that are function of the variables under investigation
  - E.g. Depression: Missing values on suicidal thoughts <u>that depend</u> on the level of suicidal thoughts: The higher the number of suicidal thoughts a person has, the less likely this person will provide an answer to this question.

- MCAR and MAR are "ignorable"
  - Usually no special treatment is needed.
  - In Mplus missing data are imputed.
    - For imputation method:
    - http://www.statmodel.com/discussion/messages/22/22.html
  - Missing are all ()
  - ML can be used (or robust ML; MLR)
- MNAR = PROBLEM...
  - Consider collecting more data
  - At the very least interpret results with caution.

- Missing values need to be identifiable by something (positive or negative; 999, -999).
- MISSING ARE ALL
  - (-999)
  - variable (#);
  - .;
  - \*;
  - BLANK;
- A note: type = missing not necessary anymore in Mplus

#### That's it!



# Mplus Example

9

#### SUMMARY OF DATA

Number of missing data patterns

COVARIANCE COVERAGE OF DATA

Minimum covariance coverage value 0.100

PROPORTION OF DATA PRESENT

	Covariance Cov	verage			
	SHOW	INTER	SKILL	PLEASE	POSIVIEW
SHOW	0.972				
INTER	0.947	0.972			
SKILL	0.943	0.947	0.968		
PLEASE	0.957	0.957	0.954	0.986	
POSIVIEW	0.954	0.954	0.950	0.964	0.979
WELL	0.950	0.950	0.947	0.964	0.957

Covariance Coverage WELL

WELL

0.979

#### Non normal data : continuous

• Data that are skewed or kurtosed

- Potential consequences of using non-normal variables
- Inflated Chi Square
- Underestimation of CFI and TLI
- Underestimation of standard errors

## Normal distribution curve



## **Kurtosis**







#### Negative







#### Non normal data : continuous

- ML and GLS are robust to minor deviations from normality
- With a big enough sample size...
- In doubt, use:
- MLR: Maximum likelihood with robust standard errors
- WLS: Weighted least square minimises the differences between observed and predicted values. NOT RECOMMENDED

## Example

#### Information Criteria

Akaike (AIC)	5674.598
Bayesian (BIC)	5743.794
Sample-Size Adjusted BIC	5683.545
$(n^* = (n + 2) / 24)$	

Chi-Square Test of Model Fit

Value Degrees of Freedom	5.447* 8 0.2080	
Scaling Correction Factor for MLR	1.0130	!Satorra-Bentler scaled (mean-adjusted) chi-square; the usual normal-theory chi-square !statistic is divided by a scaling correctionto better approximate chi-square under !non-normality.

The chi-square value for MLM, MLMV, MLR, ULSMV, WLSM and WLSMV cannot be used for chi-square difference testing in the regular way. MLM, MLR and WLSM chi-square difference testing is described on the Mplus website. MLMV, WLSMV, and ULSMV difference testing is done using the DIFFTEST option.

RMSEA (Root Mean Square Error Of Approximation)

Estimate	0.000	
90 Percent C.I.	0.000	0.053
Probability RMSEA <= .05	0.939	

CFI/TLI

CFI	1.000
TLI	1.015

Chi-Square Test of Model Fit for the Baseline Model

Value	341.921
Degrees of Freedom	15
P-Value	0.0000

SRMR (Standardized Root Mean Square Residual)

Value

0.015

#### **Categorical data**

- Categorical data that are not approximating normal distribution or that have less than 5 categories should not be treated as continuous.
- This might lead to :
  - Overestimation of Chi square
  - Underestimation of the relationships between the variables
  - Incorrect test statistics and standard errors

#### **Categorical data**

- So what to do?
  - In the VARIABLE command, add:
    - CATEGORICAL ARE:
  - Use WLSMV (default estimator when CATEGORICAL is mentioned). Based on polychoric or polyserial correlations. It uses robust standard errors.
  - According to Brown (2006), Mplus is the best software to deal with categorical data!



# Mplus Example

## Self Monitoring



### The Study

- Self Monitoring in social psychology refers to an individual's ability or willingness to control their self presentation in social situations.
  - Self Monitoring Questionnaire (Snyder, 1974)
    - 25 statements about behaviours in social situations.
    - Overarching question: Is this statement true to you?
    - Answers = True or False
- We want to assess the factorial validity of a short version (6 questions):
  - I laugh more when I watch a comedy with others than when alone. <u>SM1</u>
  - In groups of people, I am rarely the center of attention. <u>SM2</u>
  - In different situations and with different people, I often act like very different persons. <u>SM3</u>
  - I am not particularly good at making other people like me. <u>SM4</u>
  - Even if I am not enjoying myself, I often pretend to be having a good time. <u>SM5</u>
  - I'm not always the person I appear to be. <u>SM6</u>
- Coding : True = 1 or False = 0



Jumpstart Mplus 6. Multiple group

Arielle Bonneville-Roussy Dr Gabriela Roman



- What is the purpose of multiple group analysis?
- How do I perform multiple group analyses of a measurement model?
- How do I perform multiple group analyses of a causal structure?

#### Multiple group

General aim: to assess variations of a construct across groups

• More than one sample

E.g. The same study was conducted in two countries: USA and UK.

More than one group within one sample
– E.g. The questionnaire was answered by boys and girls.

### Multiple group

- Questions that can be answered by multiple group analysis:
  - Does the questionnaire function the same way across samples?
  - Is the overall structure similar?
  - Are the factor loadings/regression paths similar?
  - Are the means similar?
  - Are the variances/covariances similar?
  - Are the errors similar?

## Study









CAMBRIDGE



#### Study





# Mplus Example

#### Multiple group path analysis

### Multiple group path analysis The study

- Can intrinsic and extrinsic motivation predict the amount of students' work for a course and their final result, depending on the teaching environment?
  - Operationalisation of the variables:
    - Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation: Self report Likert scale 7 points. <u>INTRIN EXTRIN</u>
    - Amount of work: number of hours per week spent on work, max = 8 hours <u>WORK</u>
    - Result = final grade 0 100. FINALR

## Multiple group path analysis The study

- In three different environments chosen by the teacher:
- 1. INSIDE
- 2. OUTSIDE
- 3. MIXTE









# Study **Mediation analysis** Intrinsic **Final Result** Work Extrinsic



#### Jumpstart Mplus 6. Measurement invariance

Dr Gabriela Roman Arielle Bonneville-Roussy

#### Measurement invariance – Why?

Measurement equivalence (aka invariance):

Why?

- Just because we labelled it depression, doesn't mean it *is* depression
- Use of same questionnaire = the construct measured *is* the same

Steps: Take initial model → place constraints → check if model fit deteriorates



• ... bake two cakes that are absolutely 'the same'... without using the same batter?



#### How do you...

• ... bake two cakes that are absolutely 'the same'... without using the same batter?

Cake	Factor
The same ingredients (can't use milk in one but water in the other)	The same structure (i.e., the same items, with the same pattern of loadings)
The same proportions (can't use 100g of flour and 20 ml of oil in one, but 70g of flour and 50 ml of oil in the other)	Equal factor loadings (i.e., item 1 must have the same loading onto the factor, in each group)
The same quality of ingredients	Equal intercepts/thresholds

1) Structural invariance (Equal form)

– Same factor structure present in all groups

2) Weak factorial invariance (Equal loadings)

- Unit increase in latent variable is associated with comparable increase in indicator in both groups

3) Strong factorial invariance (Equal indicator intercepts)
At a given level of the latent variable, indicators have a comparable value in both groups

Tests :

- 1. Equal form: all CFAs specified in a single model, same factor structure at each time-point
- 2. Equal factor loadings: The loadings of 'like' indicators are equal
- 3. Equal indicator thresholds: Intercepts/thresholds of 'like' indicators are equal

- Constrain parameters of the CFA to be equal in all groups
- Parameters may be:

Free	Unknown; analysis finds optimal value to minimize differences between observed and predicted matrices
Fixed	Known; specified by researcher to a specific value (usually 0 or 1)
Constrained	Unknown; specified by researcher to have certain restrictions, but not to be a specific value

• E.g. if factor loadings are constrained to equality, the analysis finds a single estimate (the best) for all loadings



- The next step: compare chi-squares of the 'nested' models.
- <u>http://home.comcast.net/</u> ~sharov/PopEcol/tables/c <u>hisq.html</u>

#### **Table of Chi-square statistics**

#### t-statistics

F-statistics with other P-values: P=0.05 | P=0.01 | P=0.001

df	P = 0.05	P = 0.01	P = 0.001
1	3.84	6.64	10.83
2	5.99	9.21	13.82
3	7.82	11.35	16.27
4	9.49	13.28	18.47
5	11.07	15.09	20.52
6	12.59	16.81	22.46
7	14.07	18.48	24.32
8	15.51	20.09	26.13
0	16.02	21.67	27.00

#### **Comparison of latent means**

!!! Comparison of group means only meaningful when measures are equivalent !!!

#### • Equal variances:

= The groups drew from similar ranges of the latent variable to respond to its indicators

 Often does not have substantive implications in applied research, but is a necessary step before comparing means

• Equal means:

= Groups do not differ in their levels of the latent variable

#### **Comparison of latent means**

- Mean of first group is fixed to 0 → group 1 is the 'reference' group
- Means of other groups = deviations from the mean of the reference group
- A few points:
  - It is possible to choose the reference group to be other than the first group; switching between groups in the selection of the reference group may be important when more than 2 groups are compared
  - Absolute means are not computed (because all indicator intercepts / thresholds are constrained to be equal)

#### If measures are not equivalent?

- If full measurement invariance is untenable (significant difference in χ<sup>2</sup>), partial measurement invariance is possible
- Why it helps:

 Allows analysis of measurement invariance to proceed (don't have to abandon analyses)

Can evaluate structural parameters (e.g. mean differences)
of model in context of partial measurement invariance

#### Partial measurement invariance

- Steps:
  - Establish that measures are not invariant (chi-square difference = sig.)
  - Check modification indices to identify parameters that are not invariant
  - Relax the constraints on noninvariant parameters
- Things to consider:

 If many indicators are noninvariant, should question whether it is suitable to proceed with further invariance testing

 May be more problematic when the research interest is psychometric (e.g. test development)

Study

- I- Care:
  - 1. I care about what my family thinks about me.
  - 2. I care about what my partner thinks about me.
  - 3. I care about what my friends think about me.
  - 4. I care about what my pet thinks about me.



